From: [redacted]
To: Local Plan
Subject: Proposed plan
Date: 21 April 2019 11:57:15

I am writing to register my objection to your proposed South Warrington Urban Extension.

The current developments and those proposed in the short term in Stretton and Appleton Thorn
without and plans to improve infrastructure to accommodate the increased traffic are an abomination.

Added to this is the totally unjustified 6/56 industrial development. The figures projected in your literature
are not believable when warehouse jobs are increasingly being done by robots - a fact which must be taken
into account in any plan for the future.
Whilst the proposed site is close to motorway access it must be recognised that this is one of the most congested
stretches of motorway and regularly at a standstill. How can any sensible plan propose to add to this problem.

I also object to your use of the term 'your plan' in your literature. It certainly is not. It is wholy Warrington's plan
and not drawn up for the benefit of residents. It only adds to the problems of tavelling into the town centre through
existing bottlenecks for which there are no suggested improvements in the plan.

Lastly but not least the proposal will destroy hundreds if not thousands of acres of green belt which cannot be replaced.

Please listen to your residents and reconsider the damaging effects of your proposal on their daily lives.

K C Whiston

From: [redacted]
To: Local Plan
Subject: Proposed plan
Date: 13 June 2019 12:06:24

I am writing to register my objection to your proposed South Warrington Urban Extension.

The current developments and those proposed in the short term in Stretton and Appleton Thorn
without any plans to improve infrastructure to accommodate the increased traffic are an abomination.

Added to this is the totally unjustified 6/56 industrial development. The figures projected in your literature
are not believable when warehouse jobs are increasingly being done by robots - a fact which must be taken
into account in any plan for the future.
Whilst the proposed site is close to motorway access it must be recognised that this is one of the most congested
stretches of motorway and regularly at a standstill. How can any sensible plan propose to add to this problem.

These unjustified undesirable and unnecessary assault on the green belt are wholy unwarranted and unsound.

Brownfield sites must be the first priority for redevelopment. In the time frame of the plan Fiddlers Ferry and
Warrington Hospital sites are likely to become available. Both of these would create less transport problems than developments in the South.

I also object to your use of the term 'your plan' in your literature. It certainly is not. It is entirely Warrington's plan
and not drawn up for the benefit of residents. It only adds to the problems of tavelling into the town centre through
existing bottlenecks for which there are no suggested improvements in the plan.

Lastly but not least the proposal will destroy hundreds if not thousands of acres of green belt which cannot be replaced.

Please listen to your residents and reconsider the damaging effects of your proposal on their daily lives.

K C Whiston