

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Local Plan](#)
Subject: Fwd: Objection to local plan
Date: 20 June 2019 20:34:41
Attachments: [image1.jpeg](#)

To support my email below which was sent within the timescales - I wanted to also provide my address should you need it:

[REDACTED]
Warrington
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Begin forwarded message:

From: Rebecca Mellers [REDACTED]
Date: 12 June 2019 at 22:54:10 BST
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Objection to local plan

[REDACTED]
Apologies I forgot to send the picture; please see attached.
[REDACTED]

Begin forwarded message:

From: Rebecca Mellers [REDACTED]
Date: 12 June 2019 at 22:50:08 BST
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Objection to local plan

Dear Sirs,

Re: Objection to local plan

I am writing to register my objection to your Local development plan which could see thousands of homes, a major new road across the Ship Canal and dozens of businesses built in WA4, much of it on green belt land.

Along with your plans to turn our town into a “new city” With the building of an excessive number of homes over the next 20 years in Warrington and the development of a “garden city suburb” in the Grappenhall and Thelwall area.

With a new strategic route – maybe a dual carriageway – across

the Ship Canal at Latchford and along part of the much loved and used Trans Pennine Trail.

Greenbelt:

If I wanted to live in a city then I would move to one; instead I chose a town, specifically WA4 with its Greenbelt land for my children to grown up in and be able to access this on their doorsteps. The purpose of GreenBelt land is to prevent urban sprawl by keeping land permanently open so how can you justify building up to 7000 new houses on Greenbelt land in WA4? Whilst I understand the need to build 'some' extra housing due to the housing shortage, brownfield sites must be considered first and I see no evidence that this has been done thoroughly?

Additionally the housing you are looking to build is not going to be affordable so I can only presume you've selected to build in WA4 Greenbelt because of the revenue this will generate in council tax. It is very clear to me that the main driver behind all of this is money.

Once our Greenbelt is gone then we can't get it back. This will cause massive devastation to wildlife. Therefore Consideration should be given to the wildlife on the Greenbelt. On local walks through the greenbelt land I regularly see bats, buzzards, bees whose habits will be wiped out.

Flooding:

Furthermore, When you build upon open land there is no where for the water to go to so the likelihood is we'll see increased flooding in the area, which is a real safety concern.

Infrastructure:

Warrington's current infrastructure is already failing so adding to it the mass of houses you intend to build on protected greenbelt land, when the roads around the area are already gridlocked just makes no sense. I've attached a picture of this mornings traffic at gridlock in Grappenhall which is a typical morning traffic-wise. Adding to it another 5000 households is incomprehensible.

Logically given all the waterways in Warrington you are severely restricted in terms of how you can improve the infrastructure; adding more houses; in turn generates more cars, which again makes no sense.

Pollution:

Furthermore Warrington's current pollution levels are above average so adding to extra houses which will bring extra cars will further raise pollution levels.

These plans must NOT go ahead.

Yours faithfully

Rebecca Kevill

