

Response 822

Respondent Details

Information	
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

PART A - About You

1. Please complete the following: Please note the email address (if provided below) will be sent a full copy of the submitted response and a unique ID number for future reference (pdf attachment).

Name of person completing the form: Jane Lynott

Email address: [REDACTED]

2. What type of respondent are you? Please select all that apply.

A local resident who lives in Warrington

3. Please complete the following:

Contact details	
Organisation name (if applicable)	-
Agent name (if applicable)	[REDACTED]
Address 1	[REDACTED]
Address 2	[REDACTED]
Postcode	[REDACTED]
Telephone number	-

PART B - Representation Form 1

1. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? From the drop down list please select one option.

Draft Local Plan (as a whole)

2. Does your comment relate to a specific paragraph (s) or policy sub-number (s)? Please select one option.

None of the above

3. Do you consider the Draft Local Plan is: Please select one option in each row.

	Yes	No
Legally Compliant		
Sound		X
Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate		

4. If you have answered 'No' to any of the options in the above question then please give details in the box below of why you consider the Draft Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

It is my opinion that the Draft Local plan is not sound for a number of reasons.

1. The sale of vital green belt land to developers is unnecessary when brown field sites are available and are closer to the town centre. There are brown field sites that are available or will be coming available in the near future, which should be considered before the use of green belt land. These brownfield sites, if utilised effectively, could accommodate homes for the majority of the predicted population growth.
2. The type and number of properties proposed for the Garden suburb are not justified. The types of property in demand in the town are typically more affordable. The types of homes (so called 'executive' homes) proposed for the Garden suburb on green belt land will not fulfil the needs of the local community for greater affordable housing.
3. The sheer volume of new housing proposed in the plan is unsound. In a time of economic uncertainty, to go beyond the recommended Government figures for house building per year is unjustified.
4. The planned number of houses in south Warrington will also lead to additional traffic congestion and air pollution. The current highways plan in the local draft plan is unsound. A comprehensive road review should take place before the proposed development is allowed to go forward. New canal crossings and motorway junctions should be considered and funding found to ease the additional traffic that would result from the development. New investment into public transport also needs to be addressed and cheaper and more reliable public transport system needs to be provided and funded.
5. The character of the area and the villages of south Warrington should not be sacrificed for the benefit of developers who prefer the profitability of green belt land over the development of brownfield sites.

5. If you answered 'Yes' to any of the options in question 3 then please give details in the box below the reasons why you support the legal compliance or soundness of the Draft Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Draft Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It would be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

1. Brownfield sites in Warrington should be considered in preference to Green belt land.
2. More affordable housing needs to be provided and demanded from the developers.
3. The volume of housing proposed needs to be reconsidered in line with current and future economic predictions, especially in the wake of Brexit.
4. A comprehensive road review needs to be undertaken and additional canal crossings and motorway junctions strongly considered. Additional public transport needs to be provided and funded.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? Please select one option.

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

You have just completed a Representation Form for Draft Local Plan (as a whole). What would you like to do now?
Please select one option.

Complete the rest of the survey (Part C)