

Response 825

Respondent Details

Information	
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

PART A - About You

1. Please complete the following: Please note the email address (if provided below) will be sent a full copy of the submitted response and a unique ID number for future reference (pdf attachment).

Name of person completing the form: Kay Livingstone

Email address: [REDACTED]

2. What type of respondent are you? Please select all that apply.

Other (please specify):
Moore Resident

3. Please complete the following:

Contact details	
Organisation name (if applicable)	-
Agent name (if applicable)	-
Address 1	[REDACTED]
Address 2	[REDACTED]
Postcode	[REDACTED]
Telephone number	[REDACTED]

PART B - Representation Form 1

1. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? From the drop down list please select one option.

Policy GB1 Warrington's Green Belt

2. Does your comment relate to a specific paragraph (s) or policy sub-number (s)? Please select one option.

Both of the above

If a paragraph or policy sub-number then please use the box below to list:
Policy GB1 Green Belt Policy Sub-paragraph 3. Land removed from the Green Belt, sites: a) Warrington Waterfront c) South West Urban Extension Paragraph Nos 5.1.12 - 5.1.13

3. Do you consider the Draft Local Plan is: Please select one option in each row.

	Yes	No
Legally Compliant		
Sound		X
Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate		

4. If you have answered 'No' to any of the options in the above question then please give details in the box below of why you consider the Draft Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

This plan is not fit for purpose and I challenge the assumptions that WBC have made, there is little evidence that this plan has been prepared properly and positively. To build houses so close to a village that will be impacted massively without any consultation with the neighbouring borough council and its residents is unacceptable.

Moore village stands to lose a substantial amount of green belt and the boundary between Warrington and Halton will become blurred. This plan will in effect merge our historic village with Warrington, we stand to lose our identity and our heritage. I invite the Inspectorate to visit our small village to understand the huge impact this development will have on our green belt, its wildlife, possible damage to of some of Moore Conservation area and the further damage this can do to the area along the Bridgewater canal.

a)The loss of green belt conflicts with the national policy and is certainly not justified against the plan.

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Draft Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It would be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

1. Green Belt

NPPF paragraph 134: "Green Belt serves five purposes:

- a) to check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas;
- b) to prevent neighbouring towns merging into one another;
- c) to assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment;
- d) to preserve the setting and special character of historic towns; and
- e) to assist in urban regeneration, by encouraging the recycling of derelict and other urban land."

b) is particularly relevant to Moore as the planned Port Warrington and SW urban extension effectively merges Warrington with the village which is a Halton borough village and diminishes not only the boundary but the very purpose of greenbelt stated in a), c) and d).

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? Please select one option.

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

You have just completed a Representation Form for Policy GB1 Warrington's Green Belt. What would you like to do now? Please select one option.

Complete another Representation Form (Part B)

PART B - Representation Form 2

1. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? From the drop down list please select one option.

Policy MD1 Waterfront (including Port Warrington)

2. Does your comment relate to a specific paragraph (s) or policy sub-number (s)? Please select one option.

Both of the above

If a paragraph or policy sub-number then please use the box below to list:
 Policy Sub-paragraphs 2. removal of employment sites from the Green Belt 4 -11. Delivery and Phasing 24 – 36. Detailed Site-specific requirements 55. Amenity of residents in Promenade Park Paragraph numbers 10.1.26

3. Do you consider the Draft Local Plan is: Please select one option in each row.

	Yes	No
Legally Compliant		
Sound		X
Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate		

4. If you have answered 'No' to any of the options in the above question then please give details in the box below of why you consider the Draft Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

Employment Allocation

The employment provisions for wildlife and leisure areas are in contrary to national policy and is in conflict with other areas of the plan that protects our green areas. its local ecology and recreation (DC3, DC4 and DC5).

The huge effect that this development will have on residents at Promenade Park residential area in Moore make this plan ineffective in the delivery of economic, social and environment objectives that are a requirement within the national policy.

Loss of Leisure and Wildlife sites

NPPF paragraph 171: "Plans should: distinguish between the hierarchy of international, national and locally designated sites; allocate land with the least environmental or amenity value"

NPPF paragraph 180: Planning policies should, "identify and protect tranquil areas which have remained relatively undisturbed by noise and are prized for their recreational and amenity value for this reason"

Impacts on residential amenity

NPPF paragraphs 7-10: Objectives of sustainable development

NPPF paragraph 127: Aims of planning policies in relation to design

NPPF paragraph 170: Planning policies and the natural environment

NPPF paragraphs 184-185: Protection of the historic environment (applies to Moore Conservation Area and to the listed Moore Lane bridge over the Ship Canal).

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Draft Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It would be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Policy MD1 sub-policy 8 guarantee no development until after the Western Link is built;

Policy MD1 include the prevention of HGV traffic from travelling south to Moore;

Policy MD1 sub-para 55 should be amended to include a large and substantial green belt buffer along the ship canal, to detract from the view of buildings and to provide an extremely efficient acoustic barrier;

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? Please select one option.

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

You have just completed a Representation Form for Policy MD1 Waterfront (including Port Warrington). What would you like to do now? Please select one option.

Complete another Representation Form (Part B)

PART B - Representation Form 3

1. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? From the drop down list please select one option.

Policy MD3 South West Urban Extension

2. Does your comment relate to a specific paragraph (s) or policy sub-number (s)? Please select one option.

Both of the above

If a paragraph or policy sub-number then please use the box below to list:

Policy MD3 The SW Urban Extension Policy Sub-paragraphs 3 - 8. Delivery and Phasing 30 – 32. Site-Specific requirements for the Strategic Gap with Moore Paragraph numbers 10.3.15 – 10.3.17

3. Do you consider the Draft Local Plan is: Please select one option in each row.

	Yes	No
Legally Compliant		
Sound		X
Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate		

4. If you have answered 'No' to any of the options in the above question then please give details in the box below of why you consider the Draft Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

- a)The loss of green belt conflicts with the national policy and is certainly not justified against the plan.
- b)The employment provisions for wildlife and leisure areas are in contrary to national policy and is in conflict with other areas of the plan that protects our green areas. its local ecology and recreation (DC3, DC4 and DC5).
- c)The huge effect that this development will have on residents at Promenade Park residential area in Moore make this plan ineffective in the delivery of economic, social and environment objectives that are a requirement within the nation policy.

Purpose (b) is of particular relevance around Moore. The proposed Port Warrington and SW urban extension will erode the gap between the two towns, undermining Green Belt purpose (b) in particular, but also undermining Green Belt purposes (a), (c) and (d).

b) is particularly relevant to Moore as the planned Port Warrington and SW urban extension effectively merges Warrington with the village which is a Halton borough village and diminishes not only the boundary but the very purpose of greenbelt stated in a), c) and d).

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Draft Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It would be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Policy MD3 sub-para 7 should guarantee no development until after the Western Link is constructed;
Policy MD3 substantially increase density of allocated Green Belt Gap;
Policy MD3 sub-para 31 Strategic gap should be outlined separately and clearly indicated on the Policies Map and not just provided for by an obscure outline!

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? Please select one option.

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

You have just completed a Representation Form for Policy MD3 South West Urban Extension. What would you like to do now? Please select one option.

Complete the rest of the survey (Part C)