

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Local Plan](#)
Subject: Consultation on the Local Plan
Date: 05 November 2021 12:30:56

Dear WBC

Here are my comments.

Vision 2 section 3.1.3 point 10

“New developments will be built to the highest levels of energy efficiency”

This is a meaningless statement. WBC has no control of the energy efficiency of developments. Developers build in general to the minimum standard set by GOVERNMENT building regulations. The statement should be removed. Please include items that you think planning control could enforce outside building regulations e.g. maximising solar gain

W4 7.1.13

This section mentions taking neighbouring developments into account yet there is no mention of the current and future developments going ahead in Carrington/Partington. They will put a huge burden on the A6144 through Lymm yet no mention of the consequences of this development are included in the plan.

8.3.2. DC3 2e

Mentioning *opportunities* for links to the TPT is not a plan. It is meaningless greenwash. Please rewrite something along these lines

"We will create active travel links to the upgraded TPT"

The Local Cycling and Walking Infrastructure Plan (2019) states that “Lymm has been identified for a package of improvements to facilitate local cycling trips”. The proposed development "Policy OS5 – Lymm (Rushgreen Road)” should make specific reference to the aforementioned Infrastructure Plan because the proposed development plot sits astride a prime candidate for creating a foot/cycle bridge over the Bridgwater canal thus freeing up many areas of Lymm for sustainable travel options.

Green Belt

I heard the Prime Minister state that no new houses should be built on the Green Belt. This plan should surely be put on hold until this policy has been put into effect?

Transport Links

Travel into the town centre already suffers from decades of development to the south of the town without the expansion of transport links from the developed areas into the town. Your plan for development of the town centre is meaningless if the largest release of land for development under the plan is the other side of a barrier that effectively cuts off the development from the town centre. This is complete head in the sand stuff.

Kind regards

Chris Wakefield