

[REDACTED]

---

**From:** Pam Sixsmith [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 14 November 2021 23:33  
**To:** Local Plan  
**Subject:** Update proposed submission version local plan 2021 (UPSVLP2021)

Dear Sirs

Following the publication of the revised local plan, I would like to submit my comments:

- Roads in South Warrington are already congested. The amount of new housing suggested in the plan will lead to further congestion and a corresponding worsening of air quality. The plan does not give clear information about new roads and transport routes. I see little evidence of cycle lanes or public transport which would lessen the impact of such an increase in car ownership.
- Health and Education provision is already under severe stress. Warrington Hospital will be put under even more pressure. Will proposed new facilities meet the needs of such an increased population and how will they be funded?
- I still feel the plan allows more housing than need currently predicts. In particular, how is the destruction of so much green belt land justified? What are the exceptional circumstances? The UK has just hosted Glasgow COP26 with much highlighting of the importance of the environment. Surely green belt land should only be used when all alternatives have been exhausted (for example, brown belt land/town centre regeneration). Equally I cannot see the justification for building warehousing on good quality agricultural land at 6/56, an area which has poor public transport meaning that non car drivers will struggle to reach employment there.
- This plan greatly alters the character and landscape of South Warrington, an area where I have lived all my life. I would question whether this is justifiable in view of all my comments above.

Kind regards  
Pam Sixsmith

[REDACTED]

Sent from my iPhone