

From: Edgar Platt [REDACTED]
Sent: 15 November 2021 13:10
To: Local Plan
Subject: Objection email

I would like to object to your local plan to the proposed houses of [REDACTED]. First and foremost i don't believe we need the housing states we need considering when you look at the numerous housing developments that have been built around the omega, united utilities (liverpool road), chapelford, westbrook- (towards callands). Then there are the previous housing developments which have been built in the local areas within three miles of burtonwood, vulcan, earleston, reginald road (st helens) this to name a few, and I'm sure there a more developments within or close to the Warrington area - enough is enough.

Objection 1 - Greenbelt land should be left as greenbelt land and not to be built upon especially when other brownfield sites will become available.

Objection 2 - The field serve as a natural run off in times of heavy rain - the risk of flooding will increase if these areas are built upon - in recent years flooding has impacted the roads at numerous locations of south of clay lane near the brooks, clay lane at the junctions of Haley road south and haley road north; the bungalow opposite has been affected by flooding. There has also been flooding to phipps lane - the bridge next to another new housing development, phipps lane along the perimeter of green lane county primary school with flooding extended towards to broad lane. Flooding has also impacted chapel lane directly next to the community centre. There has also been flooding to alder lane, in the location of Sankey brook.

Objection 3 - There has been significant flooding to my back garden to the area [REDACTED] especially in recent years - in times of summer I have not been able to access the area due to flooding. I suspect the properties at the back at almond drive are also affected. I have had to pay close to £2000 just to have the grass raised above the water level, which has increased in recent years, and I'm worried it will further increase if housing developments are allowed to go ahead.

Objection 4 - the fields for the proposed development are used by walkers, dog walkers alike any housing development would severely impact this; the greenery would be destroyed. I personally have walked these routes many times especially during the coronavirus pandemic; it was a welcome avenue of release for my own mental health.

Objection 5 - Hedgerows and trees would be destroyed within the proposed development area off clay lane, directly adjacent to public footpath which dissects the fields - the inhabitants would be direct affected by the removal of such

Objection 6 - The brooks that back onto the field (almond drive, spurling road, knight road,) pond near to worsley farm. The inhabitants would be directly affect by the removal of such due to loss of habitat

Objection 7 - Various field mice live in the fields directly where the proposed development is to be located and will be directly affected by the removal of such due to loss of habitat

Objection 8 - Bats regularly fly over the areas concerned to which I view in the summer months. These bats will directly affected by your proposed development

Objection 9 - Buzzards regularly fly over the area concerned and will be affected by the the loss of habitat by your proposed development

Objection 10 The inhabitant that live on the grasses and meadows will be affected by your proposed development due to loss of habitat

Objection 11 - The proposed housing will have a carbon impact due to the use of concrete which is very carbon intensive - I don't see any carbon offsetting to your proposals - the growth of your housing is creating and adding to global warming

Objection 12 - Brownfield sites should be the number one priority for new housing developments; fiddlers ferry power station. providing their carbon impact can be offset

Objection 13 - We require a different mentality towards housing development - do we really need to keep building; your growth is creating global warming

Objection 14 - There are not enough services to support more houses, currently we do not have a doctors surgery which has transferred to Newton-le-willows

Objection 15 - The local primary schools are full to capacity and will not be able to support the extra housing development

Objection 16 - The local roads are busy at peak times and cannot support the extra capacity as the new housing development will bring

Objection 17 - The new development will mean extra cars will create more emissions to the local roads of the area

Regards

Edgar Platt

