

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [Local Plan](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Warrington draft local plan November.  
**Date:** 15 November 2021 16:04:03

---

**From:** ANDREW SHELDON [REDACTED]

**Sent:** 15 November 2021 15:59

**To:** Bell, Michael

**Cc:** Barr, Councillor Bob

**Subject:** Warrington draft local plan November.

Having only returned from a foreign trip on Friday I have had insufficient time to peruse the draft local plan.

I regard the consultation period as far too short and unsatisfactory.

However I attach for your information my comments ref the plan.

regards

Andrew Sheldon

*Mr. & Mrs.A.Sheldon*

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

*Local Plan, Planning Policy and Programmes*

*Warrington Borough Council*

*East Annexe, Town Hall*

*Sankey Street*

*Warrington SWA1 1HU*

*Dear Sirs 14<sup>th</sup> November 2021*

***WBC DRAFT LOCAL PLAN. November 2021.***

*I wish to record my objections to the latest draft local plan for the following reasons  
;-*

- 1. Inadequate and therefore illegal local consultation. Your consultation period commenced on 4<sup>th</sup> October – the day before I took my long covid delayed summer holiday. I returned on 12<sup>th</sup> November, days before the end of the consultation period.*

*I have had no time to peruse the proposals. The consultation period is far too short*

*And does not allow for close perusal to read printed copy of the documents.*

- 2. Grossly inadequate infrastructure, socio-medical or education provision.*

*There is insufficient doctor provision already, more than 10,000 patients listed with my local doctor. Insufficient dental provision. Very little increase of education provision in last decade despite increased number of new houses. This applies to primary and secondary provision. My grand daughter had to appeal to get into the local high school despite the family being resident in Lymm for 30 years. – totally unacceptable.*

- 3. Inadequate provision of affordable housing. Recent schemes in Warrington have seen the developers farming out the affordable provision to Housing Societies which require shared ownership which contains onerous purchase provisions. Also despite the Council promising to outlaw leasehold accommodation the developers replace the lease with an alternative maintenance clause which is prohibitively expensive and*

*Totally inappropriate.*

- 4. Roads and transport provision. I enclose a copy of my letter sent to you in March ref your earlier draft plan. Nothing has changed. You continue to allow more housing to be built in Lymm with no regard to subsequent traffic generation. Totally unacceptable.*

*The bus service in Lymm is unacceptable. Too infrequent and you should consider the use of smaller minibuses during off-peak periods to minimise costs. Possibly you should consider a more innovative bus provision from a lymm hub with a request service to take residents to the local hospital or shopping centres etc. Liaison with the Parish Council would be appropriate.*

- 5. Finally, in particular I strongly object to even more housing being built in Lymm with the loss of green open space. In particular I object to yet more housing adjacent Rushgreen Road. Lymm is surrounded by farmland which is attractive but privately owned and not available for public use. The site off Rushgreen Road is one of the few open spaces in proximity for public use left available.*

- 6. How do you intend to police the sprawling development which you are proposing ?*

*These are my initial thoughts without time to properly review the draft plan.*

*I have serious doubts as to whether this is a serious consultation or merely window dressing. Please stop this urban sprawl which is destroying our community.*

*Yours faithfully*

*Mr. & Mrs. A. Sheldon.*

Sent from Windows Mail