



9th November 2021

Dear Sir,

Being in receipt of a leaflet issued by SWP of whom I am sure you are aware I write to you with a sense of hopelessness at the proposed development in South Warrington Warrington, a town of which I was always proud, has in recent years become a place of questionable judgements. Judging by some recent press and radio revelations we are finding it difficult to have confidence in the people who purport to have the best interests of the Town at heart. Their motives seem less about ethics and more about greed and envy.

My letter would seem to have no structure to it but it is difficult to put forward a cogent argument since people more erudite and articulate than myself struggle to have their voices considered.

The council is deputed to the community for which it has responsibility but seems to shun that to pursue more lucrative ends.

What proof is there that all this building is required? South Warrington has an antiquated road system which can become gridlocked very quickly if there is the slightest problem on the Motorways, indeed the half hour journey to work undertaken by my daughter-in-law became one of more than two hours because an accident on the M6 took a long time to clear and we bore the brunt of it.

It would be impossible to widen the A49 from Stockton Heath to Stretton without demolishing a significant amount of property much of which was built from the turn of the 20th century through to the outbreak of the Second World War.

Places become dormitory towns with no

sense of community because there are a few grocery stores scattered about but no opportunity to buy things like a brush and shovel.

We all know that it is far more lucrative for the builder to build on green belt land because it requires less preparation before work can commence, whereas brown belt land can throw up unforeseen problems, but providing new homes is not about the builders' problems but about the residents' concerns too.

What sort of research has gone into providing amenities such as Doctors, Chemists Play areas, Youth clubs to name but a few. In the case of Youth Clubs these are often run by that altruistic band of volunteers who enhance our communities at little cost to the councils involved. Here again amenities

for children and Young People need to be within walking distance of their homes, not so far away that they can only be accessed by car. That is what make a Community not a conglomeration of homes — that has a negative impact on an area.

To, for instance, the Management of Peel Holdings, people are a minor instant. The lock gates are a disgrace and I think that some of them would be unusable so poor is the state of maintenance. Likewise the three swing bridges of South Warrington which give access to the Town. When the Manchester Ship Canal was owned by the Managers (original) there was a sense of pride and the upkeep of the Bridges was a source of pride to them, now, all attempts to bring Peel Holdings to book are simply blocked because they are able to employ the "finest?" barristers to find loopholes, and

allow them to increase their profit margins.

Yours sincerely

P Daskyphise (Mrs)