Proposed Submission Version Local Plan

PART A - About You

1. Please complete the following:

Please note the email address (if provided below) will be sent a full copy of the submitted response and a unique reference number.

Name of person completing the form: Jon Halliday

Email address: ███████████████████

2. What type of respondent are you? Please select one option only.
If you are an agent please select the type of client you are representing.

A local resident who lives in Warrington

3. Please provide your contact details:

	Contact details
Organisation name (if applicable)	-
Agent name (if applicable)	-
Address 1	██████████████
Address 2	██████
Postal Town	███████
Postcode	██████
Telephone number	████████

PART B - Representation Form 1

1. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

From the drop down list please select one option.

Plan as a whole

2. What does your comment relate to? Please select one option.

None of the above

3. Do you consider the Draft Local Plan to be: Please select one option in each row.

	Yes	No
Legally Compliant		X
Sound		X
Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate		X

4. If you have answered 'No' to any of the options in the above question then please give details in the box below of why you consider the Draft Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate.

Please be as precise as possible.

I have made several attempts to view the Local Plan map and have found it incredibly difficult to both use and understand. As an 20 year IT professional I am not challenged by the use of technology but I find the map extremely slow to load (if indeed it does at all) and there is no key to the colour coding used, the interaction points give no information whatsoever and don't provide any details on what is proposed.

I feel that as a tool to explain what the Local Plan is proposing it woefully falls short of the expectation and does not comply with the legal requirement to provide the general public with sufficient information to make an informed decision nor does it comply with the council's Duty to Co-Operate. This is all before what I 'perceive' as being the Local Plan does not, in my opinion, form a sound overall plan although this understanding may be due to the poor amount of information provided or its obfuscated method of presentation.

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Draft Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination).

You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It would be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text.

Please be as precise as possible.

Provide easy to use and understand maps with an appropriate level of colour coding and reference to allow the public to form an informed decision. Follow the basic tenet for IT systems Keep It Simple Stupid!

At least then the public can make a decision based on correct and factual information rather than an interpretation (which everyone will have a different one).

Consider the current global driver for climate change and look to NOT remove further Green Belt land and look to re-purpose existing brown field sites in a more appropriate manner. I am pleased to understand the current Fiddlers Ferry site will be repurposed in such a way but are other sites that should be considered as well.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? Please select one option.

Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination

You have just completed a Representation Form for Plan as a whole.

Please select what you would you like to do now?

Complete the final part of the form, Customer 'About You' questions and submit response **(Part C)**