

Proposed Submission Version Local Plan

PART A - About You

1. Please complete the following:

Please note the email address (if provided below) will be sent a full copy of the submitted response and a unique reference number.

Name of person completing the form: w Farquhar

Email address: -

2. What type of respondent are you? Please select one option only.
If you are an agent please select the type of client you are representing.

A local resident who lives in Warrington

PART B - Representation Form 1

1. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

From the drop down list please select one option.

GB1 Warrington's Green Belt

2. What does your comment relate to? Please select one option.

A specific paragraph number (s)

If a paragraph or policy sub-number then please use the box below to list. (For example - Policy MD2.1 part 3 or paragraph 10.2.13 etc as applicable).

para 10 peel hall

3. Do you consider the Draft Local Plan to be: Please select one option in each row.

	Yes	No
Legally Compliant		X
Sound		X
Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate		X

4. If you have answered 'No' to any of the options in the above question then please give details in the box below of why you consider the Draft Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate.

Please be as precise as possible.

Peel Hall has been subject to several costly court cases that the council tax payer has had to fund and that were refused by the enquiry. Yet the developer keeps trying to develop this land. This is despite reassurances from Mr S Broomfield that the area was green belt and would be removed from future local plans. This reassurance has not been complied with and Warrington Council continues to leave the area in its plan despite the repeated objections of the local people. This is yet another example of a broken promise being introduced in a backhanded way and the area must be removed from the local plan

The plan even states that the land is unsuitable for development yet it continues to leave it in. Surely the so called planning officer should be removed from his position as clearly he/she does not appreciate the promises made by senior officers and is breaching the bond of trust in the Council and its scheming

5. If you answered 'Yes' to any of the options in question 3 then please give details in the box below the reasons why you support the legal compliance or soundness of the Draft Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate.

Please be as precise as possible.

the plan is unsound as it does not represent the wishes of the electors

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Draft Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination).

You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It would be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text.

Please be as precise as possible.

remove Peel Hall from the plan
remove the planning officer from his position
remove the executive officer who made false promises

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? Please select one option.

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

You have just completed a Representation Form for GB1 Warrington's Green Belt.

Please select what you would you like to do now?

Submit response (I am a Developer / Landowner / Group / Organisation)