

# Proposed Submission Version Local Plan

## PART A - About You

1. Please complete the following:

Please note the email address (if provided below) will be sent a full copy of the submitted response and a unique reference number.

**Name of person completing the form:** Matt Howell

**Email address:** [REDACTED]

2. What type of respondent are you? Please select one option only.  
If you are an agent please select the type of client you are representing.

A local resident who lives in Warrington

3. Please provide your contact details:

	Contact details
<b>Organisation name (if applicable)</b>	-
<b>Agent name (if applicable)</b>	-
<b>Address 1</b>	[REDACTED]
<b>Address 2</b>	[REDACTED]
<b>Postal Town</b>	[REDACTED]
<b>Postcode</b>	[REDACTED]
<b>Telephone number</b>	[REDACTED]

## PART B - Representation Form 1

1. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

From the drop down list please select one option.

INF2 Transport Safeguarding

2. What does your comment relate to? Please select one option.

None of the above

3. Do you consider the Draft Local Plan to be: Please select one option in each row.

	Yes	No
<b>Legally Compliant</b>		X
<b>Sound</b>		X
<b>Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate</b>	X	

4. If you have answered 'No' to any of the options in the above question then please give details in the box below of why you consider the Draft Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate.

Please be as precise as possible.

I am not legally equipped to confirm if the draft local plan is legally compliant or not, however due to the significant impact of the plan, and the way to which WBC has previously miss-managed plan submissions, its very likely not to be.

Regarding "Sound", we need to build houses, this no one kind argue with, however where the plan is extremely inadequate is in the transport section. Warrington has become a condensed built up town, the roads, cycle paths and public transport are simple "not fit for purpose", therefore to add thousands of additional cars to them will not work

You have to look at proposing intelligently thought out proposals, the draft plan is vague and ambiguous.

South Warrington has public transport, are they used by anyone under the age of 60, no, why, because the residents of south Warrington all have cars, they will be the first to have electric cars, but this does not negate the fact that you are introducing the cars to already full roads.

Cycle paths in South Warrington don't exist, to get around you have to use roads, roads you are planning on putting more cars on!!

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Draft Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination).

You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It would be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text.

Please be as precise as possible.

More roads leading to the motorways. More dedicated cycle paths

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? Please select one option.

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

You have just completed a Representation Form for INF2 Transport Safeguarding.

Please select what you would you like to do now?

Complete the final part of the form, Customer 'About You' questions and submit response **(Part C)**