

Proposed Submission Version Local Plan

PART A - About You

1. Please complete the following:

Please note the email address (if provided below) will be sent a full copy of the submitted response and a unique reference number.

Name of person completing the form: Nigel Shaw

Email address: [REDACTED]

2. What type of respondent are you? Please select one option only.
If you are an agent please select the type of client you are representing.

A local resident who lives in Warrington

3. Please provide your contact details:

	Contact details
Organisation name (if applicable)	-
Agent name (if applicable)	-
Address 1	[REDACTED]
Address 2	[REDACTED]
Postal Town	[REDACTED]
Postcode	[REDACTED]
Telephone number	[REDACTED]

PART B - Representation Form 1

1. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

From the drop down list please select one option.

Plan as a whole

3. Do you consider the Draft Local Plan to be: Please select one option in each row.

	Yes	No
Legally Compliant		
Sound		X
Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate		

4. If you have answered 'No' to any of the options in the above question then please give details in the box below of why you consider the Draft Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate.

Please be as precise as possible.

Why Green Belt, where there are few houses or industry. Use land available to the north of Warrington where there are houses and industry, with easy access to the motorway system.

Why no real response to pollution by increased traffic, notwithstanding the governments pie in the sky electric proposals.

Traffic increase through Stockton Heath, Grappenhall, Walton. Stockton Heath in particular will be squeezed between access to/from The 3 motorways. Road infrastructure proposed sadly lacking. Vast increase in traffic at Junction 19 of M6 and Junction 9 of M56, with no reference to road infrastructure.

This plan gives no guarantees of road improvement (when and what) and no guarantees (when and what) of amenity requirements to include upgrade of Warrington Hospital, schools, shopping facilities, police enforcement, general amenities and GP's.

Warrington town centre needs money spending on it. It is a disgusting town centre which has massively deteriorated over the years.

Number of houses proposed is higher than available stats. as houses required.

This plan has been designed by people who have no conception of the current problems experienced in the area from roads to GP's and shops to hospitals.

Absolute shambles

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Draft Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination).

You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It would be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text.

Please be as precise as possible.

Vast reduction in housing required on green belt, with more emphasis on brown field sites north of Warrington, Fiddlers Ferry in particular.

More consideration required to improve Warrington Town Centre, which is appalling.

Let's have a Warrington plan rather than just a South Warrington plan.

Until electric vehicles are the norm this plan is dangerous.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? Please select one option.

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

You have just completed a Representation Form for Plan as a whole.

Please select what you would you like to do now?

Complete the final part of the form, Customer 'About You' questions and submit response **(Part C)**