

Proposed Submission Version Local Plan

PART A - About You

1. Please complete the following:

Please note the email address (if provided below) will be sent a full copy of the submitted response and a unique reference number.

Name of person completing the form: Brian pritchard

Email address: [REDACTED]

2. What type of respondent are you? Please select one option only.
If you are an agent please select the type of client you are representing.

A local resident who lives in Warrington

3. Please provide your contact details:

	Contact details
Organisation name (if applicable)	-
Agent name (if applicable)	-
Address 1	[REDACTED]
Address 2	-
Postal Town	[REDACTED]
Postcode	[REDACTED]
Telephone number	[REDACTED]

PART B - Representation Form 1

1. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

From the drop down list please select one option.

Plan as a whole

2. What does your comment relate to? Please select one option.

None of the above

3. Do you consider the Draft Local Plan to be: Please select one option in each row.

	Yes	No
Legally Compliant		X
Sound		X
Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate	X	

4. If you have answered 'No' to any of the options in the above question then please give details in the box below of why you consider the Draft Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate.

Please be as precise as possible.

Can the plan supersede decisions already made at enquires in the early 2000s that decided against further development on the wall heys?

As for the rest of the plan, you don't appear to consider maximum development of existing brownfield sites in Warrington, which are aplenty near the town centre rather than the virgin earth of the south of Warrington? Surely no green belt should be released until all existing brownfield is full.

The current infrastructure cannot iOS with increased car, foot or even cycling as roads are not of the required standard to keep traffic moving and as such will increase already poor air quality in this town. The current bridge structures from the ship canal to the Bridgwater canal cannot cope with current levels of traffic and there is no chance new developments will help this nor are there any mention of additional bridges or changes to existing structures

By expanding the areas you will lose all districts and identities as it merged into one, similar to every high street or shopping centre all the same, with nothing unique or different about them.

Why you are considering the flawed six56 project is beyond me, the area is not the m62 which has space in abundance to develop and build on, this has to be one of the most congested motorway junctions in the country what you are looking at and no amount or change or redesign will be able to change the fact that this junction will grind to a halt throughout the day. Equally 24/7 business when there is a large trading estate already nearby seems pointless and will only add to already consistent and persistent noise residents already endure from traffic and business

Finally I cannot see how this benefits local people or businesses as most workers won't be local but rather adding to the problem with travelling in by car as bus services are limited, no train or tram, zero cycle lanes.

I urge you to reconsider this plan

5. If you answered 'Yes' to any of the options in question 3 then please give details in the box below the reasons why you support the legal compliance or soundness of the Draft Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate.

Please be as precise as possible.

Nothing to add

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Draft Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination).

You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It would be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text.

Please be as precise as possible.

See above

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? Please select one option.

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

You have just completed a Representation Form for Plan as a whole.

Please select what you would you like to do now?

Complete the final part of the form, Customer 'About You' questions and submit response **(Part C)**