

Proposed Submission Version Local Plan

PART A - About You

1. Please complete the following:

Please note the email address (if provided below) will be sent a full copy of the submitted response and a unique reference number.

Name of person completing the form: Selina Gribben

Email address: [REDACTED]

2. What type of respondent are you? Please select one option only.
If you are an agent please select the type of client you are representing.

A local resident who lives in Warrington

3. Please provide your contact details:

	Contact details
Organisation name (if applicable)	-
Agent name (if applicable)	-
Address 1	[REDACTED]
Address 2	[REDACTED]
Postal Town	[REDACTED]
Postcode	[REDACTED]
Telephone number	[REDACTED]

PART B - Representation Form 1

1. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

From the drop down list please select one option.

GB1 Warrington's Green Belt

3. Do you consider the Draft Local Plan to be: Please select one option in each row.

	Yes	No
Legally Compliant		X
Sound		X
Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate		X

4. If you have answered 'No' to any of the options in the above question then please give details in the box below of why you consider the Draft Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate.

Please be as precise as possible.

Releasing greenfield in this volume means that developers are likely to prioritise over brownfield sites
I don't believe that the likely decline in requirements for office space given the move to working from home has been considered as a potential option
There hasn't been enough consideration given to alternatives to using greenfield sites
The damage done to the character of Wright's green will be significant
There are no plans for managing the volume of traffic generated from these areas
There is no justification for predicted growth
Air quality in the the region will be significantly impacted
There is a lack of rail station, hospital and adequate leisure facilities in the area as is meaning increased commuting
The use of green belt should be an absolute last resort given its irreversible nature.
The existing green belt boundary was confirmed only 7 years ago and was supposed to be good for 20 years.

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Draft Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination).

You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It would be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text.

Please be as precise as possible.

Green Belt should be removed from the plan all together and all other avenues developed first.
Independent contractors should be brought in to assess plans - there are far too many conflicts of interests for the people making these decisions

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? Please select one option.

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

You have just completed a Representation Form for GB1 Warrington's Green Belt.

Please select what you would you like to do now?

Complete the final part of the form, Customer 'About You' questions and submit response **(Part C)**