

Proposed Submission Version Local Plan

PART A - About You

1. Please complete the following:

Please note the email address (if provided below) will be sent a full copy of the submitted response and a unique reference number.

Name of person completing the form: Carl Wilson

Email address: [REDACTED]

2. What type of respondent are you? Please select one option only.
If you are an agent please select the type of client you are representing.

A local resident who lives in Warrington

3. Please provide your contact details:

| | Contact details |
|--|-----------------|
| Organisation name (if applicable) | - |
| Agent name (if applicable) | Carl Wilson |
| Address 1 | [REDACTED] |
| Address 2 | - |
| Postal Town | [REDACTED] |
| Postcode | [REDACTED] |
| Telephone number | [REDACTED] |

PART B - Representation Form 1

1. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

From the drop down list please select one option.

Plan as a whole

2. What does your comment relate to? Please select one option.

None of the above

3. Do you consider the Draft Local Plan to be: Please select one option in each row.

| | Yes | No |
|--|-----|----|
| Legally Compliant | X | |
| Sound | | X |
| Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate | X | |

4. If you have answered 'No' to any of the options in the above question then please give details in the box below of why you consider the Draft Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate.

Please be as precise as possible.

The plan is unsound as it is based on unfounded aspirations. Para 3.4.13 concedes that, whilst a NW hub, there is poor internal infrastructure - in particular roads crossing the various canals and rivers. Development is reliant on commuters from proposed housing in the south of the borough to jobs across the borough. The plan states that congestion and pollution will increase as a result. Public transport solutions are aspirational. These need to be planned first or simultaneously to determine housing and business options. The plan concedes that town centre employment is decreasing, leaving hoped for warehousing around M6J20, but no affordable local housing, thus requiring commuting from outside and across the borough.

5. If you answered 'Yes' to any of the options in question 3 then please give details in the box below the reasons why you support the legal compliance or soundness of the Draft Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate.

Please be as precise as possible.

Legal - it's been issued
Duty to co-operate: I'm providing a response

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Draft Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination).

You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It would be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text.

Please be as precise as possible.

Realistic road, public transport, and canal crossing proposals need to be included along with appropriate employment with associated affordable housing, schooling, medical, and leisure facilities. This should put more emphasis on brown field sites rather than taking green field sites, which would most likely reduce transport infrastructure needs.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? Please select one option.

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

You have just completed a Representation Form for Plan as a whole.

Please select what you would you like to do now?

Complete another Representation Form on a different policy or part of the plan (**Part B**)

PART B - Representation Form 2

1. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

From the drop down list please select one option.

GB1 Warrington's Green Belt

2. What does your comment relate to? Please select one option.

None of the above

3. Do you consider the Draft Local Plan to be: Please select one option in each row.

| | Yes | No |
|--|-----|----|
| Legally Compliant | X | |
| Sound | | X |
| Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate | X | |

4. If you have answered 'No' to any of the options in the above question then please give details in the box below of why you consider the Draft Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate.

Please be as precise as possible.

The Green Belt boundaries were confirmed 7 years ago but the plan has not started from the concept of minimising Green Belt usage. Additional housing is proposed to that already approved. The Green Belt buffer between settlements is reduced and the environmental impact of the loss has not been assessed.

The Green Belt land is being offered for cherry-picking for easy-profit larger properties rather than affordable housing with easy public transport access to work locations. Realistic probable work is basically warehousing near M6 J20. Local housing on green belt is likely to be unaffordable for workers.

5. If you answered 'Yes' to any of the options in question 3 then please give details in the box below the reasons why you support the legal compliance or soundness of the Draft Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate.

Please be as precise as possible.

Legal: it's issued
Co-operate: I'm responding

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Draft Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination).

You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It would be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text.

Please be as precise as possible.

Employment and housing locations need to be considered. This should place greater emphasis on brown field sites rather than progressively chipping away at the Green Belt for developer profit.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? Please select one option.

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

You have just completed a Representation Form for GB1 Warrington's Green Belt.

Please select what you would like to do now?

Complete another Representation Form on a different policy or part of the plan (**Part B**)

PART B - Representation Form 3

1. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

From the drop down list please select one option.

TC1 Town Centre and Surrounding Area

2. What does your comment relate to? Please select one option.

None of the above

3. Do you consider the Draft Local Plan to be: Please select one option in each row.

| | Yes | No |
|---------------------------------------|-----|----|
| Legally Compliant | X | |
| Sound | | X |
| Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate | X | |

4. If you have answered 'No' to any of the options in the above question then please give details in the box below of why you consider the Draft Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate.

Please be as precise as possible.

The notes on the town centre indicate that retail is decaying and there are limited job options (para 4.1.28). Although 'tourist attractions' are noted, they really are overrated.

The plan is based on an outdated and outmoded view of the town centre. It needs to consider town centre living (brown field sites) to justify retail development to support inhabitants.

5. If you answered 'Yes' to any of the options in question 3 then please give details in the box below the reasons why you support the legal compliance or soundness of the Draft Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate.

Please be as precise as possible.

Legal: it's issued
Co-operate: I'm responding

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Draft Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination).

You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It would be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text.

Please be as precise as possible.

The plan needs to be based on realistic expectations assisted by the plan rather than unfounded aspirations and unjustified pride.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? Please select one option.

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

You have just completed a Representation Form for TC1 Town Centre and Surrounding Area

Please select what you would you like to do now?

Complete another Representation Form on a different policy or part of the plan (**Part B**)

PART B - Representation Form 4

1. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

From the drop down list please select one option.

OS5 Lymm – Rushgreen Road

2. What does your comment relate to? Please select one option.

A specific paragraph number (s)

If a paragraph or policy sub-number then please use the box below to list. (For example - Policy MD2.1 part 3 or paragraph 10.2.13 etc as applicable).

10.10

3. Do you consider the Draft Local Plan to be: Please select one option in each row.

| | Yes | No |
|--|-----|----|
| Legally Compliant | X | |
| Sound | | X |
| Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate | X | |

4. If you have answered 'No' to any of the options in the above question then please give details in the box below of why you consider the Draft Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate.

Please be as precise as possible.

Para 10.10 and policy proposes a health centre. Whilst this is, in itself, desirable, it is expected that existing medical practices will move there. This centralises the service where there is already poor access on a narrow road rather than where there is ready access, even via public transport, and parking.

It is proposed rather than guaranteed.

The development also proposes housing - where there is already poor access and transport. Elsewhere the plan notes that transport issues will most likely deteriorate before they get better. The resolution of this, and other issues, needs to be part of the plan to make it sound.

5. If you answered 'Yes' to any of the options in question 3 then please give details in the box below the reasons why you support the legal compliance or soundness of the Draft Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate.

Please be as precise as possible.

Legal: it's issued
Co-operate: I'm responding

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Draft Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination).

You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It would be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text.

Please be as precise as possible.

Transport, access, congestion, schools, leisure facilities and the like need to be guaranteed before aspirational developments.

You have just completed a Representation Form for OS5 Lymm – Rushgreen Road.

Please select what you would you like to do now?

Complete the final part of the form, Customer 'About You' questions and submit response (**Part C**)