

Proposed Submission Version Local Plan

PART A - About You

1. Please complete the following:

Please note the email address (if provided below) will be sent a full copy of the submitted response and a unique reference number.

Name of person completing the form: Paul Clarkson

Email address: [REDACTED]

2. What type of respondent are you? Please select one option only.
If you are an agent please select the type of client you are representing.

A local resident who lives in Warrington

3. Please provide your contact details:

	Contact details
Organisation name (if applicable)	P clarkson
Agent name (if applicable)	-
Address 1	[REDACTED]
Address 2	-
Postal Town	[REDACTED]
Postcode	[REDACTED]
Telephone number	-

PART B - Representation Form 1

1. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

From the drop down list please select one option.

MD2 South East Warrington Urban Extension

2. What does your comment relate to? Please select one option.

None of the above

3. Do you consider the Draft Local Plan to be: Please select one option in each row.

	Yes	No
Legally Compliant	X	
Sound		X
Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate	X	

4. If you have answered 'No' to any of the options in the above question then please give details in the box below of why you consider the Draft Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate.

Please be as precise as possible.

There is a once in a lifetime opportunity to place make Stretton village and the surrounding urban extension. The plan is weak in how this can be achieved to a high standard and to the benefit of existing residents and those of the new developments that will fund the scheme. My concerns are-

1. Stretton village centre should be focused on and around St Mathews grade 2 listed church which is designated a world heritage site. The footpath to the west of the church running up Spark Hall close is the site of a Roman road. These important historical features need to be recognised and a vision promoted in the plan of how it could be achieved with further discussion at the development framework stage. Features such as through traffic should be stopped going past the church and ideally a predestinarian area and village green created which will assist in preserving and improving historical features and would be recognised as a positive benefit within the plan.
2. Highways - Insufficient detail on how the proposed new roads will address the inadequacy of the current road network and provide the additional capacity required for the new development. Also more detail on how the impact of the major new road connecting to the A49 can be "insulated" from the proposed and existing residential areas in terms of noise and pollution. Also there were two options for this connection to the A49, why? Surly the option that best serves the functional needs of the development and provides the best improvement for the amenity and place making principles mentioned above should be promoted. The provision for recreational use along the trunk road corridor should also be indicated.
3. There is an opportunity to replace less than ideal facilities at st Mathews primary school with the other educational facilities as indicated on the plan. This site should be promoted as part of the place making element for Stretton village.
4. Leisure and recreation should be aspirational and future proofed. The proposals do not sufficiently give how the plan will allow leisure and recreational activities along highways and footpaths to allow activities like cycling and horse riding (the development borders a significant rural area which have equestrian facilities presently no bridle way's exist in the area and horse riding conducted on the highways is hazardous).

5. If you answered 'Yes' to any of the options in question 3 then please give details in the box below the reasons why you support the legal compliance or soundness of the Draft Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate.

Please be as precise as possible.

I believe the consultation process has been carried out in an open and transparent way with more than adequate opportunity for interested parties to ask questions and express their views. Legally I understand there is a requirement to put a development plan in place for the plan period and without this in place then individual sites may come forward for development and this would diminish the benefits to the ability of this plan to realise the wider improvements that plan can bring in terms of place making, highways and recreational facilities to ensure maximum positive benefit is realised by allowing release of green belt land.

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Draft Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination).

You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It would be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text.

Please be as precise as possible.

There is a once in a lifetime opportunity to place make Stretton village and the surrounding urban extension. The plan is weak in how this can be achieved to a high standard and to the benefit of existing residents and those of the new developments that will fund the scheme. My concerns are-

1. Stretton village centre should be focused on and around St Mathews grade 2 listed church which is designated a world heritage site. The footpath to the west of the church running up Spark Hall close is the site of a Roman road. These important historical features need to be recognised and a vision promoted in the plan of how it could be achieved with further discussion at the development framework stage. Features such as through traffic should be stopped going past the church and ideally a predestinarian area and village green created which will assist in preserving and improving historical features and would be recognised as a positive benefit within the plan.

2. Highways - Insufficient detail on how the proposed new roads will address the inadequacy of the current road network and provide the additional capacity required for the new development. Also more detail on how the impact of the major new road connecting to the A49 can be "insulated" from the proposed and existing residential areas in terms of noise and pollution. Also there were two options for this connection to the A49, why? Surly the option that best serves the functional needs of the development and provides the best improvement for the amenity and place making principles mentioned above should be promoted. The provision for recreational use along the trunk road corridor should also be indicated.

3. There is an opportunity to replace less than ideal facilities at st Mathews primary school with the other educational facilities as indicated on the plan. This site should be promoted as part of the place making element for Stretton village.

4. Leisure and recreation should be aspirational and future proofed. The proposals do not sufficiently give how the plan will allow leisure and recreational activities along highways and footpaths to allow activities like cycling and horse riding (the development borders a significant rural area which have equestrian facilities presently no bridle way's exist in the area and horse riding conducted on the highways is hazardous).

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? Please select one option.

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

You have just completed a Representation Form for MD2 South East Warrington Urban Extension.

Please select what you would you like to do now?

Complete the final part of the form, Customer 'About You' questions and submit response **(Part C)**