

# Proposed Submission Version Local Plan

## PART A - About You

1. Please complete the following:

Please note the email address (if provided below) will be sent a full copy of the submitted response and a unique reference number.

**Name of person completing the form:** Ian Ralphs

**Email address:** [REDACTED]

2. What type of respondent are you? Please select one option only.  
If you are an agent please select the type of client you are representing.

A local resident who lives in Warrington

3. Please provide your contact details:

	Contact details
<b>Organisation name (if applicable)</b>	-
<b>Agent name (if applicable)</b>	-
<b>Address 1</b>	[REDACTED]
<b>Address 2</b>	[REDACTED]
<b>Postal Town</b>	[REDACTED]
<b>Postcode</b>	[REDACTED]
<b>Telephone number</b>	[REDACTED]

## PART B - Representation Form 1

1. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

From the drop down list please select one option.

Plan as a whole

2. What does your comment relate to? Please select one option.

None of the above

3. Do you consider the Draft Local Plan to be: Please select one option in each row.

	Yes	No
<b>Legally Compliant</b>		X
<b>Sound</b>		X
<b>Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate</b>		X

4. If you have answered 'No' to any of the options in the above question then please give details in the box below of why you consider the Draft Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate.

Please be as precise as possible.

The local plan is not fit for purpose, is not deliverable and needs modification for the following reasons;  
1. The plan releases greenbelt without proper justification and does not put brownfield regeneration first..

2. Thelwall Heys is being proposed for approximately 300 homes. This was put into Greenbelt by Warrington Borough Council in 2006. Greenbelt boundaries are supposed to endure. Why is it being removed without justification and before Brownfield regeneration being used ? In addition there is no infrastructure being put in place to allow this development. What is the access to this site ?

3 New homes proposed in the south are too far away from the rail network meaning more car use on an already overcrowded road network.

4 Six56 development is in the wrong place as the motorway network in this area is already overcrowded and sees frequent long delays this development will just make the problem a lot worse. In addition it uses a lot of land to deliver very few poorly paid jobs.

5 The local Plan does nothing to ease the already poor congestion and air quality, in fact it just makes it a lot worse as it just increases reliance on car and lorry use.

6 There is no clear detail of public transport access to the town centre from the south of the town.

7 The plan does not justify exceptional circumstances that require the need for Green Belt development over Brownfield regeneration.

8 The plan takes no account of what development is occurring in the surrounding areas of Merseyside, Greater Manchester or Cheshire.

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Draft Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination).

You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It would be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text.

Please be as precise as possible.

The local plan is not fit for purpose, is not deliverable and needs modification for the following reasons;

1. Put brownfield regeneration first..

2.Keep Thelwall Heys in Green Belt. This was put into Greenbelt by Warrington Borough Council in 2006. Greenbelt boundaries are supposed to endure. Brownfield regeneration should be used first

3 Propose how what public transport access is needed in the south to get to the rail network to avoid more car use on an already overcrowded road network.

4 Six56 development should be scrapped as it is in the wrong place, the motorway network in this area is already overcrowded and sees frequent long delays this development will just make the problem a lot worse. In addition it uses a lot of land to deliver very few poorly paid jobs.

5 Propose how the local Plan will ease the congestion and air quality in the area it currently just makes it a lot worse as it just increases reliance on car and lorry use.

6 Propose the detail of public transport access to the town centre from the south of the town.

7 Justify exceptional circumstances that require the need for Green Belt development over Brownfield regeneration.

8 Take account of what development is occurring in the surrounding areas of Merseyside, Greater Manchester or Cheshire.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? Please select one option.

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

You have just completed a Representation Form for Plan as a whole.

Please select what you would you like to do now?

Submit response ( I am a Developer / Landowner / Group / Organisation)