

Proposed Submission Version Local Plan

PART A - About You

1. Please complete the following:

Please note the email address (if provided below) will be sent a full copy of the submitted response and a unique reference number.

Name of person completing the form: Phil Goodlad

Email address: [REDACTED]

2. What type of respondent are you? Please select one option only.
If you are an agent please select the type of client you are representing.

A local resident who lives in Warrington

3. Please provide your contact details:

	Contact details
Organisation name (if applicable)	-
Agent name (if applicable)	-
Address 1	[REDACTED]
Address 2	[REDACTED]
Postal Town	[REDACTED]
Postcode	[REDACTED]
Telephone number	[REDACTED]

PART B - Representation Form 1

1. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

From the drop down list please select one option.

MD2 South East Warrington Urban Extension

2. What does your comment relate to? Please select one option.

Both of the above

3. Do you consider the Draft Local Plan to be: Please select one option in each row.

	Yes	No
Legally Compliant		X
Sound		X
Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate		X

4. If you have answered 'No' to any of the options in the above question then please give details in the box below of why you consider the Draft Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate.

Please be as precise as possible.

I believe the local plan to be deeply flawed in many aspects, with particular concern specifically around SEWUE. The plan lacks fundamental consideration for much needed infrastructure. This needs to be in place before any further development could be considered. As a resident [REDACTED] - this single track crossing forms artillery route which is already overused. With example of recent closures, Alternative routes via a41 are taking in excess of 1 hour for a 8 mile round trip - a typical route in taking our children to school. A 30min trip to our main line station for my commute, only 5 miles away! The infrastructure proposals are simply unsound, lack effective consideration and inconsiderate development will simply gridlock the south.

The consideration to develop greenbelt is simply immoral and unethical. The abundant brownfield sites have been overlooked. This will have a devastating impact on ecology, is simply unethical and one of the many appeals of South Warrington in its offering. The loss has not been added in the plan and conflicts security on it made only 7 years ago. A 5% reduction remains immoral for our future sustainability in times of high carbon and climate change. The plan is unsound in addressing this. The plan is unfit for purpose. Housing numbers are unrealistic and based on 2014 ONS data and lacks fundamental explanation in achieving never-before rates. There is also significant question over the towns funding as it spirals into a notorious debt ridden borough. The economic growth plan is unsound with no consideration for neighbouring towns.

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Draft Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination).

You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It would be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text.

Please be as precise as possible.

The plan remains unsound as the revision is haunted by previous proposals and working from 2014. Much has changed since then and it requires starting a fresh with full public enhancement of those impacted. The fact the only consultations to date have been undertake in the North (Halliwell Jones) have sidestepped issues to those impacted. Substantial infrastructure improvements are needed first to even making development considerable. We must also protect our greenbelt - at all costs for our future generation and environmental commitments. It's should be a last resort!

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? Please select one option.

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

You have just completed a Representation Form for MD2 South East Warrington Urban Extension.

Please select what you would you like to do now?

Complete another Representation Form on a different policy or part of the plan (**Part B**)

PART B - Representation Form 2

1. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

From the drop down list please select one option.

GB1 Warrington's Green Belt

2. What does your comment relate to? Please select one option.

Both of the above

3. Do you consider the Draft Local Plan to be: Please select one option in each row.

	Yes	No
Legally Compliant		X
Sound		X
Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate		X

4. If you have answered 'No' to any of the options in the above question then please give details in the box below of why you consider the Draft Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate.

Please be as precise as possible.

Development for green belt proposals are unjust and will have a devastating and irreversible impact on the town. It should be a last resort in exhausting already abundant brown field sites. The plan is unsound and makes no consideration for environmental impact and climate change.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? Please select one option.

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

You have just completed a Representation Form for GB1 Warrington's Green Belt.

Please select what you would you like to do now?

Complete the final part of the form, Customer 'About You' questions and submit response (**Part C**)