

Proposed Submission Version Local Plan

PART A - About You

1. Please complete the following:

Please note the email address (if provided below) will be sent a full copy of the submitted response and a unique reference number.

Name of person completing the form: Michael Taylor

Email address: [REDACTED]

2. What type of respondent are you? Please select one option only.
If you are an agent please select the type of client you are representing.

A local resident who lives in Warrington

3. Please provide your contact details:

	Contact details
Organisation name (if applicable)	-
Agent name (if applicable)	-
Address 1	[REDACTED]
Address 2	[REDACTED]
Postal Town	[REDACTED]
Postcode	[REDACTED]
Telephone number	[REDACTED]

PART B - Representation Form 1

1. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

From the drop down list please select one option.

MD5 Thelwall Heys

2. What does your comment relate to? Please select one option.

A specific paragraph number (s)

If a paragraph or policy sub-number then please use the box below to list. (For example - Policy MD2.1 part 3 or paragraph 10.2.13 etc as applicable).

MD5 parts 4,5,6

3. Do you consider the Draft Local Plan to be: Please select one option in each row.

	Yes	No
Legally Compliant		
Sound		X
Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate		

4. If you have answered 'No' to any of the options in the above question then please give details in the box below of why you consider the Draft Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate.

Please be as precise as possible.

The Warrington Plan fails to honour its decision to protect Greenbelt it created in the previous plan. Specifically, it fails to recognise the importance of Thelwall Heys as one of the few examples of a domestic property designed by Alfred Waterhouse in its original setting that happens to be classed as high quality farmland. The entire surrounding area has Special Landscape Character and to build on it, along with factors like increased congestion caused by lack of access means that WBC's earlier decision to preserve it was the correct one. So, why has the plan changed when it is so obviously wrong? Why has Warrington done a stupid about-face?

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Draft Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination).

You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It would be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text.

Please be as precise as possible.

Return return Thelwall Heys and surrounding area to Green Belt status having Special landscape Character as granted by WBC in c.2004.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? Please select one option.

Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination

If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

For the earlier Local Plan, I was involved in opposition to certain aspects and submitted written evidence specifically on the quality of agricultural land in Thelwall and helped produce other documents on behalf of residents of Thelwall.

You have just completed a Representation Form for MD5 Thelwall Heys.

Please select what you would you like to do now?

Complete the final part of the form, Customer 'About You' questions and submit response **(Part C)**