

Proposed Submission Version Local Plan

PART A - About You

1. Please complete the following:

Please note the email address (if provided below) will be sent a full copy of the submitted response and a unique reference number.

Name of person completing the form: Andrew Young

Email address: [REDACTED]

2. What type of respondent are you? Please select one option only.
If you are an agent please select the type of client you are representing.

A local resident who lives in Warrington

3. Please provide your contact details:

| | Contact details |
|--|-----------------|
| Organisation name (if applicable) | - |
| Agent name (if applicable) | Andrew Young |
| Address 1 | [REDACTED] |
| Address 2 | [REDACTED] |
| Postal Town | [REDACTED] |
| Postcode | [REDACTED] |
| Telephone number | [REDACTED] |

PART B - Representation Form 1

1. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

From the drop down list please select one option.

Plan as a whole

2. What does your comment relate to? Please select one option.

If a paragraph or policy sub-number then please use the box below to list. (For example - Policy MD2.1 part 3 or paragraph 10.2.13 etc as applicable).

Sections 10.2 and 10.6

3. Do you consider the Draft Local Plan to be: Please select one option in each row.

| | Yes | No |
|--|-----|----|
| Legally Compliant | X | |
| Sound | | X |
| Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate | X | |

4. If you have answered 'No' to any of the options in the above question then please give details in the box below of why you consider the Draft Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate.

Please be as precise as possible.

Sirs

I believe the plans relating to the South East Urban Extension are unsound because of the local facilities (doctors and dentists) that will be overburdened and the traffic increases on already overburdened roads and the pollution caused by increasing levels of traffic.

1. Local facilities - it is already virtually impossible to get an NHS dentist, I myself have had to be put on a private list just to get treatment. Doctors are also overburdened with patients and I have seen nothing in the plan to increase the capacity of either profession.

2. Traffic - anyone travelling the roads of South Warrington during busy periods cannot fail to be dismayed by the sheer volume of cars. The restrictions of the one-lane road at Lumb Brook Bridge should have been sorted out long ago (before the adjacent houses were allowed to be built) and queues can only get worse as local commuters try to get to work and school.

3. Pollution is already a problem as signs have had to be installed asking drivers to turn off their engines when ships are passing through the Ship Canal bridges. Again, the increases in cars can only make this worse that electric cars will only partially reduce in the short term.

In summary, the infrastructure in South Warrington is just not being increased in line with planned population growth.

yours

Andrew & Anita Young
Residents

5. If you answered 'Yes' to any of the options in question 3 then please give details in the box below the reasons why you support the legal compliance or soundness of the Draft Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate.

Please be as precise as possible.

I have specialist knowledge but I assume that all the statutory requirements have been met.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? Please select one option.

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

You have just completed a Representation Form for Plan as a whole.

Please select what you would like to do now?

Complete the final part of the form, Customer 'About You' questions and submit response **(Part C)**