

# Proposed Submission Version Local Plan

## PART A - About You

1. Please complete the following:

Please note the email address (if provided below) will be sent a full copy of the submitted response and a unique reference number.

**Name of person completing the form:** George Bedford

**Email address:** [REDACTED]

2. What type of respondent are you? Please select one option only.  
If you are an agent please select the type of client you are representing.

A local resident who lives in Warrington

3. Please provide your contact details:

	Contact details
Organisation name (if applicable)	-
Agent name (if applicable)	-
Address 1	[REDACTED]
Address 2	[REDACTED]
Postal Town	[REDACTED]
Postcode	[REDACTED]
Telephone number	-

## PART B - Representation Form 1

1. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

From the drop down list please select one option.

Plan as a whole

2. What does your comment relate to? Please select one option.

None of the above

3. Do you consider the Draft Local Plan to be: Please select one option in each row.

	Yes	No
<b>Legally Compliant</b>		X
<b>Sound</b>		X
<b>Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate</b>		X

4. If you have answered 'No' to any of the options in the above question then please give details in the box below of why you consider the Draft Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate.

Please be as precise as possible.

The plan relies upon significant housing being built, mainly away from the town centre, meaning significantly increased demand on existing infrastructure which is, at times, already struggling with the demand. Without a clear plan for additional roads and improvements to existing infrastructure, this is itself proof that the plan is unsound.

The number of houses to be built, while decreased from previous plan, is significantly higher than expected growth for the town population. There is no justification for the number of houses proposed in the plan.

Further, this relies heavily upon the release of huge areas of green belt land. Given the questionable need and obvious lack of justification for the number of houses proposed, it cannot be justifiable to focus on developing upon green belt land ahead of the repurposing of existing brownfield sites in the area (e.g. Fiddler's Ferry).

The 6/56 development will incalculably damage the surrounding area, both in terms of environmental impact and the character of the existing villages of Grappenhall and Appleton Thorn. Further, there is no clear plan for the increase in traffic this development would lead to at an already overloaded junction. Additionally, the jobs such a development would create would likely be lower paid jobs, meaning that the new houses proposed would most likely not be occupied by this workforce, further exacerbating environmental impact and infrastructure concerns.

5. If you answered 'Yes' to any of the options in question 3 then please give details in the box below the reasons why you support the legal compliance or soundness of the Draft Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate.

Please be as precise as possible.

N/A

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Draft Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination).

You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It would be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text.

Please be as precise as possible.

There needs to be a clear plan for improvement of local infrastructure and the impact of the increased levels of traffic, including new roads and preservation of existing routes, and improvement of public transport infrastructure.

There should also be a clear justification for the number of houses proposed, linked to the projected growth in local population. Additionally, it should be a clear point in the plan that development of brownfield sites will take place ahead of the unnecessary release of green belt land, with the latter only brought into consideration should brownfield site development be completed in full and further housing required to satisfy population growth demand.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? Please select one option.

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

You have just completed a Representation Form for Plan as a whole.

Please select what you would like to do now?

Complete the final part of the form, Customer 'About You' questions and submit response (**Part C**)