Proposed Submission Version Local Plan

PART A - About You

1. Please complete the following:

Please note the email address (if provided below) will be sent a full copy of the submitted response and a unique reference number.

Name of person completing the form: Gemma West

Email address: ███████████████

2. What type of respondent are you? Please select one option only.
If you are an agent please select the type of client you are representing.

A local resident who lives in Warrington

3. Please provide your contact details:

	Contact details
Organisation name (if applicable)	-
Agent name (if applicable)	-
Address 1	███████████████
Address 2	██████████
Postal Town	█████████
Postcode	███████
Telephone number	█████████

PART B - Representation Form 1

1. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

From the drop down list please select one option.

Plan as a whole

2. What does your comment relate to? Please select one option.

None of the above

3. Do you consider the Draft Local Plan to be: Please select one option in each row.

	Yes	No
Legally Compliant		X
Sound		X
Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate		X

4. If you have answered 'No' to any of the options in the above question then please give details in the box below of why you consider the Draft Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate.

Please be as precise as possible.

Brownfield regeneration should be considered first before building on pristine green belt. Loss of huge amounts of greenbelt in s environmental emergency is not justified.
Over 4200 new houses in South Warrington will have a ridiculously huge impact on the existing road network where it is already very busy.
The environmental impact on air quality is not justified.
The plans for one new bridge in latchford is lacking in detail on how this will be delivered and it's impact on traffic flow in the area especially when this is increased.

5. If you answered 'Yes' to any of the options in question 3 then please give details in the box below the reasons why you support the legal compliance or soundness of the Draft Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate.

Please be as precise as possible.

Brownfield regeneration should be considered first before building on pristine green belt. Loss of huge amounts of greenbelt in s environmental emergency is not justified.
Over 4200 new houses in South Warrington will have a ridiculously huge impact on the existing road network where it is already very busy.
The environmental impact on air quality is not justified.
The plans for one new bridge in latchford is lacking in detail on how this will be delivered and it's impact on traffic flow in the area especially when this is increased.

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Draft Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination).

You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It would be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text.

Please be as precise as possible.

Brownfield regeneration should be considered first before building on pristine green belt. Loss of huge amounts of greenbelt in s environmental emergency is not justified.
Over 4200 new houses in South Warrington will have a ridiculously huge impact on the existing road network where it is already very busy.
The environmental impact on air quality is not justified.
The plans for one new bridge in latchford is lacking in detail on how this will be delivered and it's impact on traffic flow in the area especially when this is increased.

You have just completed a Representation Form for Plan as a whole.

Please select what you would you like to do now?

Complete the final part of the form, Customer 'About You' questions and submit response **(Part C)**