Proposed Submission Version Local Plan

PART A - About You

1. Please complete the following:

Please note the email address (if provided below) will be sent a full copy of the submitted response and a unique reference number.

Name of person completing the form: lain Oglesby

Email address:

2. What type of respondent are you? Please select one option only. If you are an agent please select the type of client you are representing.

A local resident who lives in Warrington

3. Please provide your contact details:

	Contact details
Organisation name (if applicable)	-
Agent name (if applicable)	-
Address 1	
Address 2	
Postal Town	
Postcode	
Telephone number	

PART B - Representation Form 1

1. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

From the drop down list please select one option.

Plan as a whole

2. What does your comment relate to? Please select one option.

If a paragraph or policy sub-number then please use the box below to list. (For example - Policy MD2.1 part 3 or paragraph 10.2.13 etc as applicable).

Section 7 Transport

3. Do you consider the Draft Local Plan to be: Please select one option in each row.

	Yes	No
Legally Compliant		
Sound		Х
Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate		

4. If you have answered 'No' to any of the options in the above question then please give details in the box below of why you consider the Draft Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate.

Please be as precise as possible.

Transport in Warrington has been ignored for much of my driving life, 30+ years or so. It has constantly got worse and worse with little or no changes over this time, most of the changes are seen as tinkering and a deterioration not improvement. The town struggles to cope with the current population yet the new proposals aim to add significantly more people yet the main arteries (Knutsford Road and London Road) are the same at times these roads see miles and miles of tailbacks. The area where significantly more people yet the main arteries (Knutsford Road and London Road) are the same at times these roads see miles and miles of tailbacks. The area where significantly more people times per week and when the weather is bad it get to 5 days a week sometimes. I raised this issue at one of the presentations a number of years ago at the Park Royal hotel and the people there seemed to be completely ignorant of the issues and it seems from the plans here that this ignorance remains high.

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Draft Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination).

You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It would be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text.

Please be as precise as possible.

Firstly I would attempt the most simple option of traffic restrictions through the centre of Warrington, other towns and cities have done it and I believe Warrington has the option. 2 or 3 times a week there is a procession of HGV lorries crossing Warrington town centre to get from Lymm to Omega I presume, I'm not sure where else they would be going given the number.

I believe that an infrastructure plan needs to get formulated to get traffic moving efficiently through the bottle necks, maybe widening the main roads (Knutsford Road and London Road are especially bad) and suitable side roads. There are other roads in other parts of Warrington which would also benefit from this such as Manchester Road.

Maybe looking at replacing the old unreliable swing bridges with wider quicker bridges, I have seen in other countries this making a huge impact over a very small time frame.

I would say there is a need to look at traffic flow when the viaduct is blocked, I would suggest a bypass, which I know sounds ridiculous given we are boxed in by motorways but they do not cope.

I would also look at who is going to live in the houses planned as I don't know many people that actually work in Warrington and look at options of how to get them in and out. We have motorways but if you try to leave at the wrong time I have experienced several miles of tailbacks, Knutsford road to Lymm junction can be backed up all the way to Chester Road in Grappenhall.

Lymm roundabout needs to be reviewed, there is a very strange traffic light system on there that all it seems to do is cause congestion as it is not uniform across the whole junction and just causes grid lock.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? Please select one option.

Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination

If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Because all my issues have been raised before several years ago and nothing seems to have been changed never mind improved.

You have just completed a Representation Form for Plan as a whole.

Please select what you would you like to do now?

Complete the final part of the form, Customer 'About You' questions and submit response (Part C)