

Proposed Submission Version Local Plan

PART A - About You

1. Please complete the following:

Please note the email address (if provided below) will be sent a full copy of the submitted response and a unique reference number.

Name of person completing the form: Nick Cumberland

Email address: [REDACTED]

2. What type of respondent are you? Please select one option only.
If you are an agent please select the type of client you are representing.

A local resident who lives in Warrington

3. Please provide your contact details:

	Contact details
Organisation name (if applicable)	-
Agent name (if applicable)	Nick Cumberland
Address 1	[REDACTED]
Address 2	[REDACTED]
Postal Town	[REDACTED]
Postcode	[REDACTED]
Telephone number	[REDACTED]

PART B - Representation Form 1

1. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

From the drop down list please select one option.

Plan as a whole

2. What does your comment relate to? Please select one option.

None of the above

3. Do you consider the Draft Local Plan to be: Please select one option in each row.

	Yes	No
Legally Compliant		X
Sound		X
Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate		X

4. If you have answered 'No' to any of the options in the above question then please give details in the box below of why you consider the Draft Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate.

Please be as precise as possible.

The Local Plan is unsound and non compliant due to a number of reasons. I am focusing on the level of housing in the south of the borough with no additional highways capacity, except for link roads within the proposed development parcels themselves.

There should be an exceptional basis for removing green belt, this has not been done. In your plan you state that development focuses on green belt which is performing poorly, what is your evidence of this in south Warrington? It is all currently farmed land, but just happens to be owned by Homes England.

The loss of green belt needs demonstrating better, currently there is no rationale for this inclusion. However, what makes this plan fundamentally flawed is the lack of highways infrastructure to support the proposed new housing in the south. No additional highways are being proposed (with the exception of a small link road in Stretton), yet the ability to go north/ south through Warrington is already at over capacity. If there is an accident on the motorway or boat down the Manchester ship canal then all of Warrington on the north/ south spine A49 and surrounding roads become gridlocked. This reduces air quality and cannot be resolved with significant highways infrastructure including additional canal crossings which are not effected by boats. Proposed Western Link will not impact this at all as it does nothing to resolve the flow of traffic north south through Appleton/ Stockton Heath and down the Causeway. This itself will be impacted by the proposed town centre waterfront developments.

Given a proposed development of this size, significant social/ commercial infrastructure needs including. Again it is hard to see where and when this would be delivered, which would result in a very car centric and dominant estate being built.

I have no issues with new housing, but I do have when there is inadequate infrastructure to support this and better brownfield alternatives on offer.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? Please select one option.

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

You have just completed a Representation Form for Plan as a whole.

Please select what you would you like to do now?

Complete the final part of the form, Customer 'About You' questions and submit response **(Part C)**