

Proposed Submission Version Local Plan

PART A - About You

1. Please complete the following:

Please note the email address (if provided below) will be sent a full copy of the submitted response and a unique reference number.

Name of person completing the form: michael edward selway

Email address: [REDACTED]

2. What type of respondent are you? Please select one option only.
If you are an agent please select the type of client you are representing.

A local resident who lives in Warrington

3. Please provide your contact details:

| | Contact details |
|--|-----------------|
| Organisation name (if applicable) | - |
| Agent name (if applicable) | - |
| Address 1 | [REDACTED] |
| Address 2 | [REDACTED] |
| Postal Town | [REDACTED] |
| Postcode | [REDACTED] |
| Telephone number | [REDACTED] |

PART B - Representation Form 1

1. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

From the drop down list please select one option.

Plan as a whole

2. What does your comment relate to? Please select one option.

Both of the above

If a paragraph or policy sub-number then please use the box below to list. (For example - Policy MD2.1 part 3 or paragraph 10.2.13 etc as applicable).

South East Urban Extension sections 10.2 & 10.6

3. Do you consider the Draft Local Plan to be: Please select one option in each row.

| | Yes | No |
|--|-----|----|
| Legally Compliant | | X |
| Sound | | X |
| Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate | | X |

4. If you have answered 'No' to any of the options in the above question then please give details in the box below of why you consider the Draft Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate.

Please be as precise as possible.

I attended the planning meeting in October (2017) & I was very impressed by all the parties who presented sound & sensible objections to the scheme eg destruction of green belt, disruption to wildlife, increased traffic which the present road system cannot sustain, increased pollution, lack of infrastructure (schools, shops, medical facilities etc.) These arguments took the best part of 2 hours after which there was a vote. The vote of acceptance took all of 5 minutes with as far as I remember 1 vote against & 1 abstention. This indicated to me that it was a done deal & no amount of argument & objection was going to change the Council's mind. This I find disgusting & shocking. Shame on the Council!

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Draft Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination).

You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It would be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text.

Please be as precise as possible.

The government edict was that County, Borough & Parish Councils had a duty to provide affordable housing ie low cost. Stockton Heath, Appleton, Grappenhall & Appleton Thorn are NOT low cost housing areas. The homes should therefore be built in lower cost areas eg brownfield sites such as Fiddlers Ferry

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? Please select one option.

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

You have just completed a Representation Form for Plan as a whole.

Please select what you would you like to do now?

Complete the final part of the form, Customer 'About You' questions and submit response **(Part C)**