

Proposed Submission Version Local Plan

PART A - About You

1. Please complete the following:

Please note the email address (if provided below) will be sent a full copy of the submitted response and a unique reference number.

Name of person completing the form: Henryk & Rosemary Koltan

Email address: [REDACTED]

2. What type of respondent are you? Please select one option only.
If you are an agent please select the type of client you are representing.

A local resident who lives in Warrington

3. Please provide your contact details:

	Contact details
Organisation name (if applicable)	-
Agent name (if applicable)	-
Address 1	[REDACTED]
Address 2	[REDACTED]
Postal Town	[REDACTED]
Postcode	[REDACTED]
Telephone number	[REDACTED]

PART B - Representation Form 1

1. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

From the drop down list please select one option.

Vision and Spatial Strategy

2. What does your comment relate to? Please select one option.

None of the above

3. Do you consider the Draft Local Plan to be: Please select one option in each row.

	Yes	No
Legally Compliant		X
Sound		X
Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate		X

5. If you answered 'Yes' to any of the options in question 3 then please give details in the box below the reasons why you support the legal compliance or soundness of the Draft Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate.

Please be as precise as possible.

The plan is aspirational but far from realistic. To propose 4,200 homes in Appleton is inconceivable. If each home had two cars that would have an impact of a further 8,000 plus vehicles as well as added pollution. With the increase in pupil numbers the school routes for St Matthew's Stretton, Appleton Thorn Primary, Grappenhall Heys, The Cobbs, Brookfield's, St Monica's and Bridgewater High school would grind to a standstill.

One only has to see the current situation at Lyons Lane, Broom Avenue, Witherwin Avenue, all of which are a danger both to drivers and pupils.

The current plan is vague and lacks concrete data which indicates that there will always be insufficient infrastructure in place.

This is evident for commuters and residents using the A49, A56 where the flow of traffic is unable to cope with the current road system. Furthermore, the old bridges in use were never designed to cater for the volume of traffic in this the 21st century.

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Draft Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination).

You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It would be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text.

Please be as precise as possible.

Although the creation of infrastructures is acknowledged there is no specific outline. This report lacks clarity, detail and openness. Timescales need to have an end date to coincide with the completion of the areas for development.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? Please select one option.

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

You have just completed a Representation Form for Vision and Spatial Strategy.

Please select what you would you like to do now?

Complete the final part of the form, Customer 'About You' questions and submit response **(Part C)**